

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Chest Infections Original Research



14 Q24

Q6

Q7

Use of Ivermectin Is Associated With Lower Mortality in Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 The ICON Study

Juliana Cepelowicz Rajter, MD; Michael S. Sherman, MD, FCCP; Naaz Fatteh, MD; Fabio Vogel, PharmD, BCPS; Jamie Sacks, PharmD; and Jean-Jacques Rajter, MD

> BACKGROUND: Ivermectin was shown to inhibit severe acute respiratory syndrome corona-74 virus 2 replication in vitro, which has led to off-label use, but clinical efficacy has not been 75 described previously.

RESEARCH QUESTION: Does ivermectin benefit hospitalized coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients?

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Charts of consecutive patients hospitalized at four Broward Health 80 hospitals in Florida with confirmed COVID-19 between March 15 and May 11, 2020, treated with or 81 without ivermectin were reviewed. Hospital ivermectin dosing guidelines were provided, but treatment 82 decisions were at the treating physician's discretion. The primary outcome was all-cause in-hospital 83 mortality. Secondary outcomes included mortality in patients with severe pulmonary involvement, 84 extubation rates for mechanically ventilated patients, and length of stay. Severe pulmonary involvement was defined as need for $Fio_2 \ge 50\%$, noninvasive ventilation, or invasive ventilation at study entry. Logistic regression and propensity score matching were used to adjust for confounders.

RESULTS: Two hundred eighty patients, 173 treated with ivermectin and 107 without ivermectin, 89 were reviewed. Most patients in both groups also received hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, or 90 both. Univariate analysis showed lower mortality in the ivermectin group (15.0% vs 25.2%; OR, 0.52; 91 95% CI, 0.29-0.96; P = .03). Mortality also was lower among ivermectin-treated patients with severe 92 pulmonary involvement (38.8% vs 80.7%; OR, 0.15; 95% CI, 0.05-0.47; P = .001). No significant 93 differences were found in extubation rates (36.1% vs 15.4%; OR, 3.11; 95% CI, 0.88-11.00; P = .07) or 94 length of stay. After multivariate adjustment for confounders and mortality risks, the mortality 95 difference remained significant (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.09-0.80; P = .03). One hundred ninety-six 96 patients were included in the propensity-matched cohort. Mortality was significantly lower in the 97 ivermectin group (13.3% vs 24.5%; OR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.22-0.99; P < .05), an 11.2% (95% CI, 0.38%-22.1%) absolute risk reduction, with a number needed to treat of 8.9 (95% CI, 4.5-263).

INTERPRETATION: Ivermectin treatment was associated with lower mortality during treatment 101 of COVID-19, especially in patients with severe pulmonary involvement. Randomized 102 controlled trials are needed to confirm these findings. CHEST 2020; ■(■): ■-■ 103

KEY WORDS: hospitalized COVID-19; in-hospital mortality; ivermectin; mechanical 105 ventilation; number needed to treat; severe pulmonary involvement; survival

ABBREVIATIONS: COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; IQR = interquartile range; MAP = mean arteria pressure; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

AFFILIATIONS: From the Broward Health Medical Center, Fort 109 Lauderdale, FL (J. C. R., N. F., F. V., J. S., and J.-J. R.); 110 the Drexel University College of Medicine (M. S. S.),

133

134

135

136

137

127

128

145

146

147

148

153

165

Take-home Point

STUDY QUESTION: Is ivermectin associated with lower mortality rate in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)?

RESULTS: A retrospective cohort study of consecutive patients hospitalized with confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection at a four-hospital consortium in South Florida. Analysis showed statistically significant lower mortality rates in the group treated with ivermectin as compared the group treated with usual (15.0% vs 25.2%).

INTERPRETATION: Ivermectin was associated with lower mortality during treatment of COVID-19 patients, especially in patients who required higher inspired oxygen or ventilatory support.

Ivermectin previously was studied as a therapeutic option for viral infections, with data showing some in vitro activity against a broad range of viruses, including HIV, dengue, influenza, and Zika virus, likely through inhibition of IMP α/β 1-mediated nuclear import of viral proteins.^{1,2} Wagstaff et al³ demonstrated that ivermectin was a potent in vitro inhibitor of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), showing a 99.8% reduction in viral RNA after 48 h. Reports can be found on the Internet of physicians worldwide treating Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) empirically with ivermectin since late April 2020.

According to ClinicalTrials.gov, currently 37 studies are investigating the usefulness of ivermectin in COVID-19. However, in vivo efficacy of ivermectin in SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans has not been reported previously.

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

In the late 1970s, ivermectin was developed as a new class of drug to treat parasitic infections. Initially used in veterinary medicine, it soon was found to be safe and effective in humans. It has been used successfully to treat onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis in millions of people worldwide as part of a global drug donation program. About 3.7 billion doses of ivermectin have been distributed in mass drug administration campaigns globally over the past 30 years. Presently, ivermectin is approved for use in humans in several countries to treat onchocerciasis, lymphatic filariasis, strongyloidiasis, and scabies.

Based on the data drug safety sheet for ivermectin (New Drug Application Identifier: 50-742/S-022), side effects were uncommon and limited. Reported side effects with more than 1% occurrence included elevation in alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase (2%), nausea (2%), diarrhea (2%), decreased leukocyte count (3%), peripheral edema (3%), tachycardia (3%), dizziness (3%), and pruritus (3%). A pharmacokinetic study of 166 patients reported side effects of headache (6%), dysmenorrhea (5.5%), upper respiratory infection symptoms (1.8%), and diarrhea (1.8%).5

Methods

Patients

Sequentially consecutive hospitalized patients at four Broward Healthassociated hospitals in South Florida with laboratory-confirmed infection with SARS-CoV-2 during their admission were reviewed in this study. The list of confirmed cases was provided by the hospitals' epidemiology departments. Enrollment dates ranged from March 15, 2020, through May 11, 2020. Confirmatory testing was performed by

Philadelphia, PA; and the Florida International University (J.-J. R.), Miami, FL.

FUNDING/SUPPORT: The authors have reported to CHEST that no funding was received for this study.

CORRESPONDENCE TO: Jean-Jacques Rajter, MD; e-mail: covid19@ pscflorida.com

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc under license from the American College of Chest Physicians. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.10.009

nasopharyngeal swab using a Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorized COVID-19 molecular assay for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Patients younger than 18 years and those who were pregnant or incarcerated were excluded from data collection based on institutional review board requirements. Patients who had at least two separate admissions placing them in both groups also were excluded.

Study Procedures

Records were abstracted by four of the authors, and all data were Q9 211 reviewed subsequently and confirmed by the lead author. Baseline data were collected at the time of ivermectin administration for the ivermectin group; for the usual care group, baseline was either the time of administration of hydroxychloroquine or, if not used, at the time of admission. Information collected included COVID-19 testing results, patient demographics, preexisting comorbid conditions, initial vital signs, laboratory results, and the use of corticosteroids, hydroxychloroquine, and azithromycin to describe the cohort and to identify potential confounders between groups. Severity of pulmonary involvement was assessed at the time of baseline data collection and was

categorized as severe or nonsevere. Patients were considered to have severe pulmonary involvement if they required an Fio2 of 50% or more, high-flow nasal oxygen, noninvasive ventilation, or intubation and mechanical ventilation. The nonsevere pulmonary criteria encompassed patients who required no supplemental oxygen or low Fio2 (ie, venturi mask 40% or less or up to 6 L/min of low-flow nasal cannula), independent of laboratory findings.

Patients were categorized into two treatment groups based on whether they received ivermectin at any time during the hospitalization. Patients in the ivermectin group received at least one oral dose of ivermectin at 200 µg/kg in addition to usual clinical care. A second dose could be given at the discretion of the treating physician at day 7 of treatment. Ivermectin is not currently approved by the Food and Drug Administration for COVID-19 treatment. The decision to prescribe ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, or other medications was at the discretion of the treating physicians; however, hospital guidelines were established for the safe use and dosing of these agents. These guidelines included a baseline ECG and mandatory cardiac and QTc monitoring for patients receiving hydroxychloroquine (alone or in combination with azithromycin), avoidance of azithromycin if patient's baseline QTc was more than 460 msec, and discontinuation of hydroxychloroquine if a concerning elevation in QTc occurred or if the patient's cardiologist recommended discontinuation. Oxygen and ventilatory support were applied per the customary care. Empiric use of ivermectin was given explicitly for COVID-19.

Outcomes

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

232

233

234

235

236

237

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252 253

254

255

256

257

258 259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

238^{Q11}

231 Q10

The primary outcome was all-cause in-hospital mortality. A patient was considered a survivor if he or she left the hospital alive or if his or her status in the hospital changed from active care to awaiting transfer to a skilled facility. Two consecutive nasopharyngeal swab specimens showing negative results for SARS-CoV-2, collected ≥ 24 h apart, were necessary for a patient to be accepted to the local skilled nursing facilities.

Secondary outcomes included subgroup mortality of patients with severe pulmonary involvement, extubation rates for patients requiring mechanical ventilation, and length of hospital stay. Length of stay was calculated from day of admission to either the day of discharge or to patient death.

Statistical Analysis

Univariate analysis of the primary mortality outcome and comparisons 277 between treatment groups were determined by the Student t test for 278 parametric continuous variables or the Mann-Whitney U test for nonparametric continuous variables as appropriate, and by the Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables. The method of Hodges-Lehman was used to estimate median differences with 95% CIs.

276

280

281

282

283

288

292

301

304

307

312

313

314

316

317

318

319

320

322

325

326

327

329 330

To adjust for confounders and between-group differences, a multivariate analysis was performed using stepwise binary logistic regression. Patient variables included in the analysis were age, sex, comorbidities of ²⁸⁴ diabetes, chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, and hypertension, 285 smoking status, severity of pulmonary involvement, need for mechanical 286 ventilation at study entry, BMI, peripheral white blood count, absolute 287 lymphocyte count, and use of corticosteroids based on bivariate associations within our data, a priori plausibility, and documented associations with mortality from previous studies. Adjusted ORs with ²⁸⁹ 95% CIs were computed to show level of certainty. Analyses were based 290 on nonmissing data, and missing data were not imputed. Missingness of 291 1% was found for peripheral WBC count, 5% for smoking status, and 7% for absolute lymphocyte count.

We performed a secondary analysis using propensity score matching to 294 reduce the effects of confounding and the likelihood of selection bias. 295 Propensity matching was performed using a nearest-neighbor algorithm with 1:1 matching without replacement and a caliper distance of less than 0.2. Variables for propensity scoring included 297 those variables from the univariate between-groups analysis of the 298 unmatched cohort that had a P value of less than .2 (age, sex, 299 pulmonary condition, hypertension, HIV status, severe pulmonary presentation, and exposure to corticosteroids, hydroxychloroquine, or azithromycin). Race, WBC count, absolute lymphocyte count, and need for mechanical ventilation before or on the day of study entry 302 also were added as potential clinical confounders.

All tests were two-sided and a P value < .05 was considered statistically 305 significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS version 26.0 software, R version 3.5.3 software (R Foundation for Statistical 306 Computing), and SPSS PS-matching software (sourceforge.net).

This study was conducted in accordance with tenets of the amended 308Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the 309 institutional review board for the Broward Health Hospital System 310 (Identifier: 2020-034-BHMC). The authors assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the data and analyses, as well as for the fidelity of the study.

Results

Characteristics of the Patients

Three hundred seven patients were admitted for COVID-19 during the period studied. Four patients were not reviewed because of multiple admissions, 11 did not have COVID-19 confirmed at the time of the study, and 12 were excluded because their age was younger than 18 years, they were pregnant, or they were incarcerated. The remaining cohort of 280 patients comprised 173 treated with ivermectin and 107 in the usual care group. Most patients received a single dose of ivermectin; however, 13 patients received a second dose of ivermectin for ongoing signs or symptoms on day 7 of treatment. Follow-up data for all outcomes were available through May 19, 2020. No

patients were lost to follow-up for the primary outcome. 315 At the time of analysis, all patients in both groups had met the end point of death, discharge alive, or awaiting transfer to a skilled facility. Of those awaiting transfer, in the control group, one patient was awaiting transfer to hospice because of an unrelated terminal illness and one 321 patient was awaiting negative COVID-19 test results to proceed with unrelated surgery. In the ivermectin group, 323 five patients were in stable condition, awaiting transfer to 324 skilled facility or rehabilitation, and one patient was improving clinically.

Baseline characteristics and between-group comparisons 328 for unmatched and propensity-matched cohorts are shown in Table 1. Before matching, hypertension and

ARTICLE IN PRESS

TABLE 1 Patient Characteristics by Treatment Group

		Unmatched Cohort				Matched Coh	nort	
Demographic Characteristic	Total (N = 280)	Usual Care (n = 107)	Ivermectin (n = 173)	<i>P</i> Value	Total (N = 196)	Usual Care (n = 98)	Ivermectin (n = 98)	P Value
Age, y	59.6 ± 17.9	58.6 ± 18.5	60.2 ± 17.6	.45	59.6 ± 17.5	59.04 ± 17.7	60.07 ± 17.4	.68
Female sex	127 (45.4)	43 (41.2)	84 (48.6)	.17	78 (39.8)	39 (39.8)	39 (39.8)	1.0
Race or ethnicity			.36				1.0	
Black	153 (54.6)	55 (51.4)	98 (56.6)		108 (55.1)	54 (55.1)	54 (55.1)	
White	76 (27.1)	35 (32.7)	41 (23.7)		55 (28.1)	27 (27.6)	28 (28.6)	
Hispanic	33 (11.7)	12 (11.2)	21 (12.1)		23 (11.7)	12 (12.5)	111 (11.2)	
Other or not identified ^a	13 (4.6)	5 (4.7)	13 (7.5)		10 (5.1)	5 (5.1)	5 (5.1)	
Current or former smoker	46/255 (18.0)	22/99 (22.3)	24/156 (15.6)	.40	31/180 (22.2)	20/90 (22.2)	11/90 (12.2)	.11
No. of comorbidities	1.66 ± 1.34	1.60 ± 1.46	1.70 ± 1.27	.57	$\textbf{1.56} \pm \textbf{1.33}$	1.58 ± 1.43	$\textbf{1.53} \pm \textbf{1.22}$.79
Diabetes	90 ± 32.1	31 ± 29.0	59 ± 34.1	.37	59 ± 30.1	30 ± 30.6	29 ± 29.6	.88
Cardiac	43 ± 15.4	18 ± 16.8	25 ± 14.5	.59	$\textbf{27} \pm \textbf{13.8}$	16 ± 16.3	11 ± 11.2	.30
Pulmonary	28 ± 10.0	14 ± 13.1	14 ± 8.9	.18	18 ± 10.1	10 ± 10.2	8 ± 8.2	.62
Obesity	$\textbf{114} \pm \textbf{40.7}$	42 ± 39.3	72 ± 41.6	.70	79 ± 40.3	39 ± 39.8	40 ± 40.1	.88
Renal	24 ± 8.6	10 ± 9.4	14 ± 8.1	.72	16 ± 8.2	9 ± 9.2	7 ± 7.1	.60
Cancer	17 ± 6.1	8 ± 7.5	9 ± 5.2	.44	14 ± 7.1	7 ± 7.1	7 ± 7.1	1.00
Hypertension	50 ± 17.9	13 ± 12.2	37 ± 21.4	.05	$\textbf{26} \pm \textbf{13.2}$	12 ± 12.2	14 ± 14.3	.67
Neurologic	28 ± 10.0	8 ± 7.5	20 ± 11.6	.27	17 ± 8.7	8 ± 8.2	9 ± 9.2	.80
HIV infection	9 ± 3.2	1 ± 1	8 ± 4.6	.09	3 ± 1.5	1 ± 1.0	2 ± 2.0	.56
Thyroid	23 ± 8.2	7 ± 6.6	16 ± 9.3	.42	15 ± 7.7	7 ± 7.1	8 ± 8.2	.79
BMI	30.0 ± 7.8	29.8 ± 7.2	30.1 ± 8.2	.81	29.4 ± 6.6	29.4 ± 6.3	29.4 ± 6.9	.95
Pulmonary severity				.46				
Severe	75 (26.8)	26 (24.3)	49 (28.3)	.12	47 (24.0)	22 (22.4)	25 (25.5)	.62
Intubated at study entry	38 (13.6)	15 (14.0)	23 (13.3)	.86	25 (12.8)	11 (11.2)	14 (14.3)	.52
Heart rate	86.0 (75.0-98.0)	86.0 (74.0-97.0)	86.0 (75.5-98.0)	.65	85.5 (74.0- 98.0)	86.0 (73.0- 97.5)	85.0 (74- 98.0)	.88
MAP (mm Hg)	93 (82.3-103.0)	90 (81.0-103.0)	94 (83-103)	.24	92.5 (82.0- 103.0)	91.0 (81.0- 103.2)	93.0 (82.0- 103.0)	.74
MAP ≤ 70 mm Hg	13/260 (5.0)	6/89 (6.7)	7/171 (4.1)	.35	7 (3.6)	4 (4.1)	3 (3.1)	.70
Corticosteroid	90 (32.1)	21 (19.6)	69 (39.8)	.001	46 (23.2)	21 (21.4)	25 (25.5)	.5

(Continued)

rable 1 │ (Continued)

		Unmatched Cohort				Matched Cohort	ort	
Demographic Characteristic	Total (N = 280)	Usual Care (n = 107)	Ivermectin (n = 173)	<i>P</i> Value	Total (N = 196)	Usual Care (n = 98)	Ivermectin (n = 98)	P Value
Hydroxychloroquine	260 (92.9)	104 (97.2)	156 (90.2)	.03	190 (96.9)	95 (96.9)	95 (96.9)	1.00
Azithromycin	243 (86.7)	99 (92.5)	144 (83.2)	.03	177 (90.3)	90 (91.8)	87 (88.7)	.47
Peripheral WBC count (\times 10 9 /L)	7.3 (5.6-10.2; n = 277)	7.0 (5.7-8.9; n = 106)	7.6 (5.5-11.1; n = 171)	.41	6.9 (5.3-9.3)	7.0 (5.8-9.0)	6.9 (5.2-9.8)	69.
Lymphocyte count ($ imes$ 10^9 /L)	1.15 (0.78-1.56; n = 260)	1.14 (0.84-1.49; n = 102)	1.20 (0.77-1.67; n = 158)	.62	1.13 (0.77- 1.52)	1.15 (0.87- 1.45)	1.19 (0.75- 1.57)	88.

Data are presented as No. (%), mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range), unless otherwise indicated. MAP = mean arterial pressure 'Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, or not identified corticosteroid use were more prevalent in the ivermectin 496 group, whereas the use of hydroxychloroquine and hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin were higher in the usual care group.

Propensity score matching created a total of 98 matched 501 pairs. After matching, no statistically significant differences were found between the two groups. Eight patients in the propensity-matched group received a second dose of ivermectin on day 7.

Outcomes

Unadjusted outcomes for the unmatched cohort and outcomes in the propensity-matched cohort are shown in Table 2. For the unmatched cohort, overall mortality 511 was significantly lower in the ivermectin group than in the usual care group (15.0% vs 25.2% for ivermectin and usual care, respectively; P = .03). Mortality also was lower for ivermectin-treated patients in the subgroup of patients with severe pulmonary involvement (38.8% vs. 80.7% for ivermectin and usual care, respectively; P =.001). On univariate analysis, patients receiving corticosteroids showed a higher mortality than those who did not receive corticosteroids (30.0% vs 13.7%; OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.47-4.99; P = .001); however, corticosteroids were more likely to have been prescribed 523 for severe patients (58.6% vs 22.4% for severe and nonsevere, respectively; OR, 4.91; 95% CI, 2.78-8.63; P < .001).

Results were similar, with lower mortality in the ivermectin-treated patients for the matched cohort for the 529 group as a whole and for the subgroup with severe pulmonary involvement (Table 2). In the matched cohort, ivermectin was associated with an absolute risk reduction of 11.2% (95% CI, 0.38%-22.1%) and a corresponding number needed to treat of 8.9 (95% CI, 4.5-263) to prevent one death. We found no difference in median hospital length of stay or in extubation rates in either the 537 unmatched or matched cohorts. Of note, 1 of the 13 patients who received a second dose of ivermectin died; 539 this patient was not in the propensity-matched cohort.

Multivariate analysis was performed on the unmatched cohort, adjusting for demographic factors and betweengroup differences in mortality risks. Independent predictors of in-hospital mortality included treatment group, age, severe pulmonary disease category, and reduced lymphocyte count (Table 3). Because race was 547 not a significant predictor after adjustment, a further analysis was performed that showed that White patients 549 were significantly older than Black patients (mean age,

Univariate Clinical Outcomes by Treatment Group

TABLE 2

		Unmatched Cohort	ırt			Matched Cohort	Cohort	
Outcome	Control Subjects (n = 107)	Ivermectin (n = 173)	OR or Difference (95% CI)	P Value	Control Subjects (n = 98)	Ivermectin (n = 98)	OR or Difference (95% CI)	P Value
Mortality	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Total	27 (25.2)	26 (15.0)	0.52 (0.29-0.96)	0.03	24 (24.5)	13 (13.3)	0.47 (0.22-0.99)	0.045
Severe	21/26 (80.7)	19/49 (38.8)	0.15 (0.05-0.47)	0.001	18/22 (81.8)	8/25 (32.0)	0.27 (0.08-0.92)	0.002
Nonsevere	6/81 (7.4)	7/124 (5.6)	0.75 (0.24-2.3)	0.61	(6.7)9/9	4/74 (5.4)	0.97 (0.61-1.54)	0.78
Successful extubation	4/26 (15.4)	13/36 (36.1)	3.11 (0.88-11.00)	0.07	3/22 (15.4)	7/18 (38.9)	1.91 (0.43-8.46)	0.14
Length of stay	7.0 (4.0-10.0)	7.0 (4.0-13.3)	0 (-1 to 2)	0.34	7.0 (4.0-10.0)	7.0 (3.0-13.0)	0 (-2 to 1)	0.88

Data are presented as No./Total No. (%) or median (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated.

66.8 vs 59.1 y; mean difference, 7.7 y; 95% CI, 3.0-12.4 y; P = .001) and that Hispanic patients (mean age, 49.8 y; mean difference, 17.0 y; 95% CI, 9.6-24.4 y; P < .001).

Discussion

In this multihospital retrospective cohort study, we observed a significant association of ivermectin with improved survival for patients admitted with COVID-19. This association also was seen in the subset of patients with severe pulmonary disease. These findings were confirmed after multivariate adjustment for comorbidities and differences between groups, and also in a propensity score-matched cohort. Similar to other studies, we noted that older age, cardiac disease, current or former smoking, more severe pulmonary involvement at presentation, higher WBC counts, and lower lymphocyte counts emerged as risk markers for inhospital mortality.

The overall mortality, and mortality in intubated patients, in our usual care group was similar to what was reported in previous studies. Richardson et al⁶ reported an overall mortality of 21% in a New York City cohort, with a mortality of 88% in intubated patients. Zhou et al⁷ reported 28.2% mortality in a cohort of hospitalized patients in Wuhan, China; the intubated patients showed a mortality of 96.9%. In contrast to Magagnoli et al, we did not see a higher mortality effect Q13 for hydroxychloroquine. This may have been because of the small number of patients who were not treated with this agent; thus, our study was underpowered to detect a difference in mortality from hydroxychloroquine treatment. We also hypothesize that precautionary measures in the hospitals' protocol for hydroxychloroquine use could have prevented fatal arrhythmias from developing. These included baseline electrocardiography and daily QTc monitoring by telemetry for any patient receiving hydroxychloroquine or combination therapy, avoidance of azithromycin if patient's baseline QTc was more than 460 msec, and discontinuation of hydroxychloroquine if a concerning elevation in QTc occurred or if the patient's cardiologist recommended discontinuation. In contrast to Horby et al, we did not find a mortality benefit for patients who were prescribed corticosteroids in our multivariate analysis, which included several severity covariates. These findings are likely explainable by physicians' choice to reserve use of corticosteroids for the most seriously ill patients, because the study was performed before the results of the RECOVERY trial were published.9

661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674

696

706 707

708

711 712

713

714

715

709 710

TABLE 3 Multivariate Analysis of Factors Associated With Mortality

Variable	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Treatment group		
Ivermectin	0.27 (0.09-0.80)	.03
Control subject	Reference	
Age	1.05 (1.02-1.09)	.003
Sex		
Female	0.42 (0.24-1.82)	.42
Male	Reference	
Smoking status		
Current or former smoker	3.49 (0.71- 17.32)	.13
Nonsmoker	Reference	
Race		.18
Black	0.64 (0.21-1.94)	.43
Hispanic	0.14 (0.02-1.22)	.08
Other	0.62 (0.05-7.92)	.71
White	Reference	
Comorbidities		
Diabetes	1.17 (0.39-3.55)	.78
Cardiac	1.51 (0.43-5.22)	.52
Pulmonary	0.15 (0.20-1.84)	.15
Hypertension	0.72 (0.17-3.08)	.66
No comorbidities	Reference	
BMI	0.97 (0.89-1.07)	.58
Severe presentation	11.41 (3.42- 38.09)	< .001
Intubated at study entry	2.96 (0.73- 12.06)	.13
$MAP \leq 70 \text{ mm Hg}$	1.82 (0.17-19.1)	.62
Corticosteroid treatment	1.71 (0.57-5.16)	.34
Peripheral WBC count	1.08 (0.96-1.23)	.22
Lymphocyte count	3.65 (1.25- 10.60)	.02

MAP = mean arterial pressure.

We also did not confirm a higher risk of mortality in Black patients in comparison with White patients after controlling for age. Prior reports showed lower survival rates among Black and Hispanic patients¹⁰; however, Price et al¹¹ also found no racial differences in mortality. In our hospital population, White patients were significantly older, which is reflective of our catchment area and may be responsible for the discrepancy.

We did not observe a significant difference in hospital length of stay between the groups (median, 7 days for both groups) despite the lower mortality. Possible explanation could include delay in discharging patients to other facilities (skilled nursing facilities, inpatient rehabs, and so forth) because of a delay in obtaining required repeat COVID-19 testing results. Patients who died were included in length-of-stay measurements.

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

735

736

738

739

740

741

770

Use of mechanical ventilation was not adopted as an outcome of interest, because guidelines and practice patterns for intubation criteria changed throughout the 723 length of the study. We were unable to determine ICU length of stay and ventilatory-free days in the ICU because overflow conditions during the pandemic placed critically ill patients in the emergency room and other non-ICU environments, and therefore, we could not determine ICU stay accurately. We did not find a lower mortality in the subgroup of nonsevere patients treated 731 with ivermectin; however, our study was not powered to 732 assess these differences because the overall mortality in 733 nonsevere patients was low. Similarly, the study was not 734 powered to determine whether extubation rates were higher in the ivermectin group. These should be investigated further with a larger randomized controlled 737 trial.

Interpretation

742 Our study has several limitations. Because of the 743 retrospective observational nature of the study, despite 744 adjustment for known confounders and propensity score 745 matching, we cannot exclude the possibility of 746 unmeasured confounding factors. Although more of the 747 control group was enrolled in the first weeks of the 748 study, suggesting the possibility of timing bias, this may 749 be offset by preferential treatment of more severe 750 patients with ivermectin early in the study because of 751 low initial availability. We also did not find consistently 752 different mortality outcomes with time over the short 753 duration of this study. We also did not find evidence of 754 immortal time bias, because only one of the control 755 756 patients died fewer than 5 days from admission, the average time from admission to death was $11\ days$, and 757758 the vast majority of patients received ivermectin in 759 2 days or fewer. If we omit the patient with potential 760 immortal time from the analysis, the mortality 761 difference remains significant in both unmatched 762 (15.0% vs 24.5% for ivermectin and usual care, 763 respectively; P < .05) and matched (12.4% vs 25.0% for $_{764}$ ivermectin and usual care, respectively; P < .03) cohorts. 765 Most of the studied patients received 766 hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin, and 767 we are unable to determine whether these medications 769 had an added benefit or whether mortality would have

been better in both groups without these agents.

We showed that ivermectin administration was associated significantly with lower mortality among patients with COVID-19, particularly in patients with more severe pulmonary involvement. Interpretation of these findings are tempered by the limitations of the retrospective design and the

possibility of confounding. Appropriate dosing for this indication is not known, nor are the effects of ivermectin on viral load or in patients with milder disease. Further studies in appropriately designed randomized trials are recommended before any conclusions can be made.

Acknowledgments

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

963

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808 809

810

811

812

813 814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

Author contributions: J. C. R., lead author, had full access to all of the data in the study and contributed to the study design, data collection and interpretation, and writing of manuscript. M. S. S. provided data analysis and interpretation and contributed to writing of the manuscript. N. F. contributed to data collection and literature search. F. V. contributed to the study design and data collection. J. S. contributed to data collection and data organization. J.-J. R., corresponding author, contributed substantially to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, and the writing of the manuscript.

Financial/nonfinancial disclosures: None declared.

Other contributions: The authors thank Edward Gracely, PhD, for his support and advice with the statistical analysis, and Dr William Rifkin for reviewing the manuscript.

References

1. Navarro M, Camprubí D, Requena-Méndez A, et al. Safety of high-dose ivermectin: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Antimicrobial Chemother. 2020;75:827-834.

- 2. Boldescu V, Behnam MAM, Vasilakis N, Klein CD. Broad-spectrum agents for flaviviral infections: dengue, Zika and beyond. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2017;16:
- 3. Wagstaff KM, Sivakumaran H, Heaton SM, Harrich D, Jans DA. Ivermectin is a specific inhibitor of importin α/β -mediated nuclear import able to inhibit replication of HIV-1 and dengue virus. Biochem J. 2012;443:851-856.
- 4. Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, Jans DA, Wagstaff KM. The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro [published online ahead of print XX XX, 2020]. Antiviral Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antivira1.202
- 5. Munoz J, Ballester MR, Antonijoan RM, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetic profile of fixed dose ivermectin with an innovative 18 mg tablet in healthy adult volunteers. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12(1):e0006020.
- 6. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimha M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area [published online ahead of print April 22, 2020]. JAMA. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2 020.6775.5.

- 7. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study.
- 8. Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, et al. Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with Covid-19 [published online ahead of print April 23, 2020], https://doi.org/10.1101/2 020.04.16.20065920.
- 9. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19-preliminary report [published online ahead of print July 7, 2020]. N Engl J Med. https://doi.org/10.1 056/NEJMoa2021426.
- 10. Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, et al. Hospitalization rates and characteristics of patients hospitalized with laboratoryconfirmed coronavirus disease 2019-COVID-NET, 14 states, March 1-30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(15):458-464.
- 11. Price CC, Altice FL, Shyr Y, et al. Tocilizumab treatment for cytokine release syndrome in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: survival and clinical outcomes [published online ahead of print 2020]. Chest. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2 020.06.006.

Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-1062.

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862 863

864

865

866

867 868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

[■# ■ CHEST ■ 2020]